



INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF ENGINEERING SCIENCES & RESEARCH TECHNOLOGY

OPTIMAL FEATURE SELECTION FROM REMOTE SENSING IMAGES FOR AGRICULTURAL ACREAGE ESTIMATION

Pooja G. Mate*, Kavita R. Singh, Anand Khobragade

Dept. of Computer Technology, YCCE, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India Dept. of Computer Technology, YCCE, Nagpur, Maharashtra, India Dept. of Planning Gov. of Maharashtra, MRSAC, Nagpur Maharashtra, India

DOI: 10.5281/zenodo.49795

ABSTRACT

Feature extraction directly affect the classification process poor features leads to inaccurate classification results. Feature extraction is complex task when we classify any sort of data may be it textual data, simple image and complex image like remote sensing multispectral images. On the place of basic features used across the world, very specific features are used for crop classification in the agricultural field. Finding those relevant features out of available one is the first job on priority. Researcher used those features as an input for estimating agricultural acreage from remote sensing images. This can introduced the concept of feature dimension reductionality.

KEYWORDS: Remote Sensing, Feature Extraction, Image Classification

INTRODUCTION

Feature selection and feature extraction has a vital role in image classification. It is used to classify any sort of data may be textual database, simple image or complex images like Remote Sensing Images collected through satellites. In addition to features that available for usual data types used across the world, more specific features may be needed for classifying agricultural areas or crops within agricultural fields. As classification accuracy is depending on number of features we have selected, the more specific feature selection gives more accurate result. A feature selection problem plays a key role when set of features are belonging to different domain like remote sensing. Whenever we classify the object it represents in terms of set of features, feature selection problem consist of selecting very specific features from whole set of available features and it is helpful that selection of very specific features provides the most discriminative power.

a) Image Classification using SVM

During last decades, SVMs is more referable for various classification problems due to its flexibility, computational efficiency and ability to handle high dimensional data [1,2]. Therefore, classification of image should be done using SVM (Support Vector Machine) because it is proven through studies to be one of the best classifier as compare to other (like neural network algorithm, fuzzy logic etc.). Researchers examined hyperspectral images by applying machine learning algorithms like Maximum Likelihood, Decision Tree, Artificial Neural Network and Support Vector and also tested sub-pixel classification algorithms like LMM, ANN, & SVM for its behavior on effect of dimensionality of feature space, effect of training sample size, and number of bands used to achieve better classification accuracy. The finding of their research illustrate that upcoming statistical learning classifier SVM produces the best accuracies as compared to other algorithms, even if number of bands increases to more than 100. [8].

PROPOSED WORK

a) Basic Steps for Image Classification

The following Fig 2 shows the basic steps for image classification process.



ISSN: 2277-9655 (I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785



Fig 2: Proposed System

In the Fig. 2 above, C1, C2, ..., Cn refers to the classes or categories that images are classified into. In our case we classified image into grain and non grain. Therefore the output shows in grain and non grain. Step 1, pre-processing, is required before applying any image analysis methods. First of all we crop the selected portion of image the we are applying the noise filter and segmenting the image into different segments. In the step 2, feature extraction is done. Here we extract number of features like length, width, height, area, roundness, brightness, shape, mean, GLCM, border index, border length, entropy, height, standard deviation, shadow, roughness, rectangularity, entropy, NDVI, connectivity etc. we will give these features as a input to our classification system. Finally, images are classified into the responsive classes as grain and non grain by using SVM. Based on the classification results we can perform acreage estimation.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The following Fig 3 shows the feature vector for training samples. According to that features SVM classify result into grain and non grain. The row shows the number of features that can be extracted. And column shows number of training samples.



[Mate*, 5(4): April, 2016]

ISSN: 2277-9655 (I2OR). Publication Impact Factor: 3.785

-	SegMin 1	Dening Fland	of Daubhop	Within	indge .												
1 🗼 行用 🤨 P (静 型 近) 🦞 Connit Folder, C Wentlanser Debhop ghaveloode 🦷 🗐 😡																	
5 240	w to Add 10 v	int's firm															20
1.00	MANE KANN	- leasures	1	11 CEL			1.1									-	1
4 1	Contract of	100	2000 10	Cor A	e +aed prots to	or reatores.	<u>.</u>								10	m H A	M.
(1) feat	S249 + 3000-22	doubles		10.00	22							- 12 - 12					-
Sec.	- 10-	2-	2	4	3.		· 7		A		- FL	- 12	- 0	- W.	-13	- 10	-
200						- 15				10		- NG		1.340			-
12-	2000 4000	117 1965	100 4550	10 2010	27 1044	AD ATTA	14 1041	10.000	11.4044	14, 18421	122-11220	10.0346	DIV SAME	1010075	10,4814	115 1416	-
14t	A AMA	0.2756	1100	3.5454	4.0015	1.1400	1.7114	0.000	3,450	0.76/0	0.0150	DATE	0.4590	0.0071	0.5%6	0.1020	-
EX.	10		- Andrew		# \$500	6,2722		1.000	-	10.9152	100	0.1754			8.400N	-1-5200	-
121	11,1000	MUNIC	ALC: NO	1.000	5.1080	4.7418	1.505/7	14710	1.204	13.8075	7.8766	41202	20,505	10,7506	TANK	18,0877	-
防止	754	41.0	415	18,1202	64.0000	49.1556	15,7900	123.4000	101,5000	179 2110	141.5000	44.0571	Int Alexi-	1 Page add 2	84.0047	179	
121	292,9871	Decision	201.0100	522,6798	221.0810	214 6214	323.7476	200.5978	141.4404	211.6201	226-3763	227.4848	20.3400-	143.8225	223,6545	251 9990	
3	243 4902	129.4748	246,1740	140 71 28	10.0021	194,6152	101.016	183,2506	101.4062	195,2725	F62.7154	255,4121	248,2764	225.7718	100.6404	240,4190	
-10	107,6161	195,2548	182,8096	238,3804	345.3701	158.3623	243.4676	2067246	154.5127	152 3154	224.0369	191,6973	207,7178	178.4358	228,2985	1997, 7398.0	
11	43750	7.7995	6.1254	3.1547	8.8122	10.0105	4,0652	1.7908	7.7940	1,0375	4.5970	7.0550	5,736	7.1434	4,7236	NONES	
12	12.0246	15.6256	121034	8.4485	5.0879	14.8209	2.7388	6.11200	11.4064	10.7048	8-8822	12,3187	10.5276	11,4457	5,6981	11.4394	
- (3	(5.2592	10.6917	10.7964	ULNOR.	10.4676	18.5892	15.1342	12.5308	10.5366	18.8794	11,0056	22.5210	22,6195	12,8006	12.6129	Laster.	
.16	2200	2,6242	1,2346	5.7200	2,1203	3.4018	2.0Net	1.1.268	1.3354	1.5586	3.8162	1,2102.0	2.0918	1,4000	1.0062	12	
15	0.4451	-0.3862	0.0945	-0.3937	1,2100	-0.0599	0.4451	1,2106	-6.0002	-6:2010	-0.0955	-0.0007	0.6154	-0.0085	-0.04%	0(-	
.16	-8.1104	0		0.	-0.0246		-61104	-2.0346				2	0.1472	0	.0		
-12	0	9	19		0.0842		- R	-0.5842	() 用。				0			9	
18	- Q.	. 0	÷		11.00	. d				1.8		0	0		10	Ø.,	
-19	- 10		- K		- 5			E	- 4		0	<u>8</u>	6			K	
. 20		- 0	0.	6							R.	0	Q.,				
-21		. 0	0	00								0	0		0		
24	- R.	- 6			- 8	A					X	0.		A.		<u> (</u>	
1.71			. 00								10	0					_
24	0											0					_
12	. 0		8		- 0					9		<u>e</u> .					_
1.0																	_
12-			- 5-								.0	9		8			-
121																	_
1.00	· 48		- 5-														

Fig 3: Feature Vector

Here we have to find accuracy of the classified image for this we have two images for comparison. One is the original image and second is the reference image and applies the accuracy formulae on it. Here the reference image is nothing but the pre-classified image that can be processed in software or we can apply filter on the original image to use it as a reference image or it can be image on which we can manually do labelling in paint. The following Fig 4 shows the original image and reference image.



a) Original Image



b) Reference Image

Fig 4: Images used for experimentation

Finally, by using training data set it can calculate the results. The following Fig 5 shows the acreage of total grain area. We find the acreage out of total area which us the area of the field to total grain area. Here the total acreage is 3.1641 acres.



ISSN: 2277-9655 (I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785



Fig 5: Total Acreage of Grain Area



Fig 6: Accuracy of Classified Image

The above Fig 6 shows the accuracy of classification. We find accuracy on the basis of features to be selected. There are total 3093 features from these we need to find combination of features which gives more accurate result. There is need to find combination of features those are more accurate. This task we have to do manually. The following Fig 9 indicate the accuracy of all 3093 features in 89.36%, with respect to this it gives classification result into grain and non grain. Also it gives time delay in seconds it takes 585.99 s.



CONCLUSION

ISSN: 2277-9655 (I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785

We proposed the crop classification system. In this we can extract multiple features like glcm, standard deviation, shadow, NDVI etc. and on the basis of these features we classify the result into grain and non grain. We evaluated the performance of features by using linear SVM. On the basis of this classification we found the acreage of the total area containing grain crop. Also we found the accuracy of classification. Here, the result shows the total acreage as 3.1641 acres and accuracy of classification is 89.36%, it required time delay as 585.99 s.

The future work we have to do is that finding the most relevant combination of features for agricultural field. Even, this project can be extends to find best parameters for crop identification using remote sensing image sets. The use of Evolutionary algorithms is envisaged for optimization of best features for said application.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This section should be typed in character size 10pt Times New Roman, Justified.

REFERENCES

- Harith Al-Sahaf, Andy Song, Kourosh Neshatian, Mengjie Zhang, "Extracting Image Features for Classification ByTwo-Tier Genetic Programming", WCCI 2012 IEEE World Congress on Computational Intelligence June, 2012 - Brisbane, Australia, 10-15
- [2] Minh Hoai Nguyen and Fernando de la Torre, Optimal feature selection for support vector machines. *Pattern Recognition*, 43(3), 2010, 584-591
- [3] D. Lu, Q. WENG, A survey of image classification methods and techniques for improving classification performance, International Journal of Remote Sensing, 2007, Vol. 28, No. 5, pp.823-870.
- [4] Journals, Iosr; Shewalkar, Priyanka; Khobragade, Anand; Kapil Jajulwar, Prof. (2014): Review Paper on Crop Area Estimation Using SAR Remote Sensing Data figshare. Https: // dx. Doi. Org/ 10.6084/ m9.figshare.1093769 Retrieved: 09 30, Jan 04, 2016 (GMT)
- [5] Rongjun Qin, "A Mean Shift Vector-Based Shape Feature for Classification of High Spatial Resolution Remotely Sensed Imagery," in Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, IEEE Journal of, vol.8, no.5, pp.1974-1985, May 2015 doi: 10. 1109/JSTARS. 2014. 2357832.
- [6] Varadarajan, S.; Karam, L.J., "A No-Reference Texture Regularity Metric Based on Visual Saliency," in Image Processing, IEEE Transactions on , vol.24, no.9, pp.2784- 2796, Sept. 2015 doi: 10. 1109/ TIP. 2015.2416632
- [7] Femiani, J.; Er Li; Razdan, A.; Wonka, P., "Shadow-Based Rooftop Segmentation in Visible Band Images," in Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, IEEE Journal of, vol.8, no.5, pp.2063-2077, May 2015 doi: 10. 1109 / JSTARS. 2014. 2369475
- [8] Mahesh and Mather, 2006; Some issues in the classification of DAIS hyperspectral data, *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 27 (14). pp. 2895-2916
- [9] Zisha Zhong; Bin Fan; Jiangyong Duan; Lingfeng Wang; Kun Ding; Shiming Xiang; Chunhong Pan, "Discriminant Tensor Spectral–Spatial Feature Extraction for Hyperspectral Image Classification," in Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, IEEE, vol.12, no.5, pp.1028-1032, May 2015 doi: 10.1109/LGRS.2014.2375188
- [10] Shenglong Liao; Jubai An, "A Robust Insulator Detection Algorithm Based on Local Features and Spatial Orders for Aerial Images," in Geoscience and Remote Sensing Letters, IEEE, vol.12, no.5, pp.963-967, May 2015 doi: 10. 1109/ LGRS. 2014. 2369525
- [11] Libao Zhang; Aoxue Li, "Region-of-Interest Extraction Based on Saliency Analysis of Co-Occurrence Histogram in High Spatial Resolution Remote Sensing Images," in Selected Topics in Applied Earth Observations and Remote Sensing, IEEE Journal of, vol.8, no.5, pp.2111-2124, May 2015 doi: 10. 1109/ JSTARS. 2015. 2394241
- [12] De Stefano, C.; Fontanella, F.; Marrocco, C.; Schirinzi, G., "A feature selection algorithm for class discrimination improvement," Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2007. IGARSS 2007. IEEE International, vol., no., pp.425,428, 23-28 July 2007.
- [13] Habib, T.; Inglada, J.; Mercier, G.; Chanussot, J., "Assessment of Feature Selection Techniques for Support Vector Machine Classification of Satellite Imagery," Geoscience and Remote Sensing Symposium, 2008. IGARSS 2008. IEEE International, vol.4, no., pp.IV - 85,IV - 88, 7-11 July 2008.



[Mate*, 5(4): April, 2016]

ISSN: 2277-9655

(I2OR), Publication Impact Factor: 3.785

- [14] Al-Sahaf, H.; Song, A.; Neshatian, K.; Mengjie Zhang, "Extracting image features for classification by two-tier genetic programming," Evolutionary Computation (CEC), 2012 IEEE Congress on , vol., no., pp.1,8, 10-15 June 2012.
- [15] Akhtar, A.; Nazir, M.; Khan, S.A., "Crop classification using feature extraction from satellite imagery," Multitopic Conference (INMIC), 2012 15th International, vol., no., pp.9,15, 13-15 Dec. 2012.
- [16] Bo Wu; Liangpei Zhang; Yindi Zhao, "Feature Selection via Cramer's V-Test Discretization for Remote-Sensing Image Classification," Geoscience and Remote Sensing, IEEE Transactions on , vol.52, no.5, pp.2593,2606, May 2014.